

State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

Division Director

Division of Oil, Gas and Mining JOHN R. BAZA

June 15, 2016

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT 7013 2250 0000 2310 2408

Dana Beck Beck & Beck Construction, Inc. 3940 Traverse Mountain Boulevard Suite 115 Lehi, UT 84043

Subject: Proposed Assessment for State Cessation Order No. MC-2016-60-01, Beck & Beck

Construction, Inc., Fox Canyon Mine, S/049/0080, Utah County, Utah

Response Due By: 30 Days of Receipt

Dear Mr. Beck:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the assessment officer for assessing penalties under R647-7.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced cessation order. The cessation order was issued by Division inspector, April Abate, on January 14, 2016. Rule R647-7-103 et. seq. has been utilized to determine the proposed penalty of \$2,420.00. The enclosed worksheet outlines how the civil penalty was assessed.

By these rules, any written information which was submitted by you or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this cessation order has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of this penalty.

Under R647-7-106, there are two informal appeal options available to you. You may appeal the 'fact of the violation', the proposed civil penalty, or both. If you wish to informally appeal you should file a written request for an informal conference within thirty 30 days of receipt of this letter.



Page 2 of 2 Dana Beck S/049/0080 June 15, 2016

The informal conference will be conducted by a Division-appointed conference officer. The informal conference for the fact of the violation is distinct from the informal assessment conference regarding the proposed penalty. If you wish to review both the fact of the violation and proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an assessment conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. In this case, the assessment conference will be scheduled immediately following the review of the fact of the violation.

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of the violation will stand, the proposed penalty will become final, and will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the date of this proposed assessment (by July 18, 2016). Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Sheri Sasaki.

Sincerely,

Lynn Kunzler

Assessment Officer

LK: eb

Enclosure: Proposed assessment worksheet cc: Sheri Sasaki, Accounting

Vickie Southwick, Exec. Sec.

WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING Minerals Regulatory Program

		IC-2016-60-01 INF Reck & Rec	ck Construction, Inc	/ Fox Canyon	PERMIT: _	S/049/0080
ASS	ESSMENT	DATE June 14, 2 OFFICER Lynn K	016	.7 Tox Canyon		
1.	A.		(R647–7-103.2.11) violations, which are	e not pending or vac	cated, which fall th	aree (3) years of
	PREVIO	OUS VIOLATIONS None	EFFEC	CTIVE DATE	POINTS (1pt for NOV 5	5pts for CO)
п.						
п.	NOT: 1. 2.	E: For assignment Based on facts sup each category who Beginning at the n or down, utilizing	of points in Parts II pplied by the inspecere the violation fall mid-point of the cate the inspector=s and	and III, the following tor, the Assessment s. egory, the Assessment operator=s statements.	Officer will determent Officer will adjusted as guiding doc	ust the points up
II.	NOT 1. 2. Is this (as	E: For assignment Based on facts sup each category who Beginning at the r or down, utilizing an EVENT (A) or ssign points according ENT VIOLATIONS What is the event we	of points in Parts II pplied by the inspec ere the violation fall mid-point of the cate the inspector=s and Administrative (B) ng to A or B)	and III, the following tor, the Assessment s. egory, the Assessment operator=s statement violation? <u>Event</u>	ent Officer will determent Officer will adjustents as guiding doc (A) ed to prevent?	ust the points up
II.	NOT 1. 2. Is this (as	E: For assignment Based on facts sup each category who Beginning at the r or down, utilizing s an EVENT (A) or ssign points according ENT VIOLATIONS What is the event we Conducting mining	of points in Parts II pplied by the inspecere the violation fall mid-point of the cate the inspector=s and Administrative (B) ng to A or B) (Max 45 pts.) which the violated stag related activity of billity of the occurrent	and III, the following tor, the Assessment s. egory, the Assessment operator=s statement violation? <u>Event</u> tandard was designent to the permitted of the permi	ent Officer will determent Officer will adjustents as guiding doc (A) ed to prevent? Ubonded area.	ust the points up cuments.
11.	NOT: 1. 2. Is this (as A. EVE 1.	E: For assignment Based on facts sup each category who Beginning at the r or down, utilizing s an EVENT (A) or ssign points according ENT VIOLATIONS What is the event was Conducting mining What is the probal designed to preven	of points in Parts II pplied by the inspecere the violation fall mid-point of the cate the inspector=s and Administrative (B) ng to A or B) (Max 45 pts.) which the violated stag related activity of billity of the occurrent	and III, the following tor, the Assessment s. egory, the Assessment operator=s statement violation? <u>Event</u> tandard was designent to the permitted of the permi	ent Officer will determent Officer will adjustents as guiding doc (A) ed to prevent? Ubonded area.	ust the points up cuments.
II.	NOT: 1. 2. Is this (as A. EVE 1.	E: For assignment Based on facts sup each category who Beginning at the r or down, utilizing s an EVENT (A) or ssign points according ENT VIOLATIONS What is the event was Conducting mining What is the probal designed to preven	of points in Parts II pplied by the inspecere the violation fall mid-point of the cate the inspector=s and Administrative (B) ng to A or B) (Max 45 pts.) which the violated stag related activity of the occurrent? Occurred	and III, the following tor, the Assessment s. egory, the Assessment operator=s statement violation? Event tandard was designed attained the permitted and the event who have of the event who have of the event who have the permitted and the event who have the ev	ent Officer will adjustents as guiding doc (A) ed to prevent? Whonded area.	ust the points up cuments.

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: <u>Division inspector determined that 12 acres had</u> been disturbed at the time of this inspection. The site was permitted for only 5 acres. Since the

ASSIGN PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS 20

event apparently occurred, points were assigned at that level.

3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage?: ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS (Range 0-25) 15 In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment. PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: The operator had more than doubled the the permitted disturbed acreage. Points therefore assigned slightly above mid-point of the range. B. ADMINISTRATIVE VIOLATIONS (Max 25pts) Is this a POTENTIAL or ACTUAL hindrance to enforcement? Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation. ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS N/A PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) 35 Ш. **DEGREE OF FAULT** (Max 30 pts.) (R647-7-103.2.13) LEVEL of NEGLIGENCE. Point Range No Negligence (Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care?) Negligence (was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the 1 - 15occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care?) Greater Degree of Fault (was this a failure to abate any 16 - 30 violation or was economic gain realized by the permittee? STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE: Negligent ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 7 PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: Inspector indicated that this violation was a result of the operator showing a lack of reasonable care of knowing the limits of the permit boundary. Points assigned at mid-point of the range.

IV. GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.) (R467-7-103.2.14)

(Either A or B) (Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures, or violations not abated at the time of assessment)

Has Violation Been Abated? Yes

A. EASY ABATEMENT (The operator had onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area.)

	Point Range
Immediate Compliance	-11 to -20
(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)	
Rapid Compliance	-1 to -10
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation.	
Violation abated in less time than allotted.)	
Normal Compliance	0
(Operator complied within the abatement period required,	
or, Operator requested an extension to abatement time)	

B. DIFFICULT ABATEMENT (The operator did not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or the submission of plans was required prior to physical activity to achieve compliance.)

	Point Range
Rapid Compliance	Point Range -11 to -20
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation.	
Violation abated in less time than allotted.)	
Normal Compliance	-1 to -10
(Operator complied within the abatement period)	
Extended Compliance	0
(Operator complied within the abatement period required, or,	
(Operator complied within the abatement period required, or, Operator requested an extension to abatement time) (Permittee	
took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits of	
the violation, or the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete.)	

EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT? Difficult Abatement

ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS 0

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: <u>Abatement occurred in excess of the allotted time to abate.</u> Therefore good faith cannot be awarded..

V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY (R647-7-103.3)

I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	0
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	35
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	7
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	0
	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	42
	TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	\$2,420.00